

Minimal triangulations of sphere bundles over the circle

Bhaskar Bagchi^a and Basudeb Datta^b

^aTheoretical Statistics and Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore 560 059, India

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India

To appear in ‘Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Ser. A’

Abstract

For integers $d \geq 2$ and $\varepsilon = 0$ or 1 , let $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$ denote the sphere product $S^1 \times S^{d-1}$ if $\varepsilon = 0$ and the twisted sphere product $S^1 \ltimes S^{d-1}$ if $\varepsilon = 1$. The main results of this paper are: (a) if $d \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{2}$ then $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$ has a unique minimal triangulation using $2d + 3$ vertices, and (b) if $d \equiv 1 - \varepsilon \pmod{2}$ then $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$ has minimal triangulations (not unique) using $2d + 4$ vertices. In this context, a minimal triangulation of a manifold is a triangulation using the least possible number of vertices. The second result confirms a recent conjecture of Lutz. The first result provides the first known infinite family of closed manifolds (other than spheres) for which the minimal triangulation is unique. Actually, we show that while $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$ has at most one $(2d + 3)$ -vertex triangulation (one if $d \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{2}$, zero otherwise), in sharp contrast, the number of non-isomorphic $(2d + 4)$ -vertex triangulations of these d -manifolds grows exponentially with d for either choice of ε . The result in (a), as well as the minimality part in (b), is a consequence of the following result: (c) for $d \geq 3$, there is a unique $(2d + 3)$ -vertex simplicial complex which triangulates a non-simply connected closed manifold of dimension d . This amazing simplicial complex was first constructed by Kühnel in 1986. Generalizing a 1987 result of Brehm and Kühnel, we prove that (d) any triangulation of a non-simply connected closed d -manifold requires at least $2d + 3$ vertices. The result (c) completely describes the case of equality in (d). The proofs rest on the Lower Bound Theorem for normal pseudomanifolds and on a combinatorial version of Alexander duality.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 57Q15, 57R05.

Keywords: Triangulated manifolds; Stacked spheres; Non-simply connected manifolds.

1 Preliminaries

With a single exception in Section 3, all simplicial complexes considered here are finite. For a simplicial complex X , $V(X)$ will denote the set of all the vertices of X and $|X|$ will denote the geometric carrier of X . One says that X is a *triangulation* of the topological space $|X|$. If $|X|$ is a manifold then we say that X is a *triangulated manifold*. The unique $(d + 2)$ -vertex triangulation of the d -sphere S^d is denoted by S_{d+2}^d and is called the *standard d -sphere*. The unique $(d + 1)$ -vertex triangulation of the d -ball is denoted by B_{d+1}^d and is called the *standard d -ball*. For $n \geq 3$, the unique n -vertex triangulation of the circle S^1 is denoted by S_n^1 and is called the *n -cycle*.

¹*E-mail addresses:* bbagchi@isibang.ac.in (B. Bagchi), dattab@math.iisc.ernet.in (B. Datta).

For $i = 1, 2$, the i -faces of a simplicial complex K are also called the *edges* and *triangles* of K , respectively. For a simplicial complex K , the graph whose vertices and edges are the vertices and edges of K is called the *edge graph* (or *1-skeleton*) of K . Recall that a *graph* is nothing but a simplicial complex of dimension at most 1. A set of vertices in a graph is called a *clique* if these vertices are mutually adjacent (i.e., any two of them form an edge). Note that any simplex in a simplicial complex is a clique in its edge graph.

For a simplex σ in a simplicial complex K , the number of vertices in $\text{lk}_K(\sigma)$ is called the *degree* of σ in K and is denoted by $\deg_K(\sigma)$ (or by $\deg(\sigma)$). So, the degree of a vertex v in K is the same as the degree of v in the edge graph of K .

Recall that for any face α of a complex X , its *link* $\text{lk}_X(\alpha)$ is the simplicial complex whose faces are the faces β of X such that $\alpha \cap \beta = \emptyset$ and $\alpha \cup \beta \in X$. Likewise, the *star* $\text{st}_X(\alpha)$ of the face α has all the maximal faces $\gamma \supseteq \alpha$ of X as its maximal faces.

A simplicial complex X is called a *combinatorial d -sphere* (respectively, *combinatorial d -ball*) if $|X|$ (with the induced pl structure from X) is pl homeomorphic to $|S_{d+2}^d|$ (respectively, $|B_{d+1}^d|$). A simplicial complex X is said to be a *combinatorial d -manifold* if $|X|$ (with the induced pl structure) is a pl d -manifold. Equivalently, X is a combinatorial d -manifold if all its vertex links are combinatorial spheres or combinatorial balls. In this case, we also say that X is a *combinatorial triangulation* of $|X|$. A simplicial complex X is a combinatorial manifold without boundary if all its vertex links are combinatorial spheres. A combinatorial manifold will usually mean one without boundary.

A simplicial complex K is called *pure* if all the maximal faces (*facets*) of K have the same dimension. For $d \geq 1$, a d -dimensional pure simplicial complex is said to be a *weak pseudomanifold* if each $(d-1)$ -simplex is in exactly two facets. Clearly, any d -dimensional weak pseudomanifold has at least $d+2$ vertices, with equality only for S_{d+2}^d .

For a pure d -dimensional simplicial complex K , let $\Lambda(K)$ be the graph whose vertices are the facets of K , two such vertices being adjacent in $\Lambda(K)$ if the corresponding facets intersect in a $(d-1)$ -face. If $\Lambda(K)$ is connected then K is called *strongly connected*. A strongly connected weak pseudomanifold is called a *pseudomanifold*. Thus, for a d -pseudomanifold K , $\Lambda(K)$ is a connected $(d+1)$ -regular graph. This implies that K has no proper subcomplex which is also a d -pseudomanifold. (Or else, the facets of such a subcomplex would provide a disconnection of $\Lambda(X)$.) By convention, S_2^0 is the only 0-pseudomanifold.

A connected d -dimensional weak pseudomanifold is said to be a *normal pseudomanifold* if the links of all the simplices of dimension up to $d-2$ are connected. Clearly, the normal 2-pseudomanifolds are just the connected combinatorial 2-manifolds. But, normal d -pseudomanifolds form a broader class than connected combinatorial d -manifolds for $d \geq 3$. In fact, any triangulation of a connected closed manifold is a normal pseudomanifold.

Observe that if X is a normal pseudomanifold then X is a pseudomanifold. (If $\Lambda(X)$ is not connected then, since X is connected, $\Lambda(X)$ has two components G_1 and G_2 and two intersecting facets σ_1, σ_2 such that $\sigma_i \in G_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Choose σ_1, σ_2 among all such pairs such that $\dim(\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2)$ is maximum. Then $\dim(\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2) \leq d-2$ and $\text{lk}_X(\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2)$ is not connected, a contradiction.) Notice that all the links of simplices of dimensions up to $d-2$ in a normal d -pseudomanifold are normal pseudomanifolds.

Let X, Y be two simplicial complexes with disjoint vertex sets. (Since we identify isomorphic complexes, this is no real restriction on X, Y .) Then their *join* $X * Y$ is the simplicial complex whose simplices are those of X and of Y , and the (disjoint) unions of simplices of X with simplices of Y . It is easy to see that if X and Y are combinatorial spheres (respectively normal pseudomanifolds) then their join $X * Y$ is a combinatorial sphere (respectively normal pseudomanifold).

By a *subdivision* of a simplicial complex K we mean a simplicial complex K' together with a homeomorphism from $|K'|$ onto $|K|$ which is facewise linear. Two complexes K, L have isomorphic subdivisions if and only if $|K|$ and $|L|$ are pl homeomorphic. Let X be a pure d -dimensional simplicial complex and σ be a facet of X , then take a symbol v outside $V(X)$ and consider the pure d -dimensional simplicial complex Y with vertex set $V(X) \cup \{v\}$ whose facets are facets of X other than σ and the $(d+1)$ -sets $\tau \cup \{v\}$ where τ runs over the $(d-1)$ -simplices in σ . Clearly, Y is a subdivision of X . The complex Y is called the subdivision obtained from X by *starring a new vertex v in the facet σ* .

If U is a non-empty subset of the vertex set $V(X)$ of a simplicial complex X then the simplices of X which are subsets of U form a simplicial complex. This simplicial complex is called the *induced subcomplex* of X on the vertex set U and is denoted by $X[U]$.

Definition 1.1. If Y is an induced subcomplex of a simplicial complex X then the *simplicial complement* $C(Y, X)$ of Y in X is the induced subcomplex of X with vertex set $V(X) \setminus V(Y)$. By abuse of notation, for any face σ of X , the induced subcomplex of X on the complement of σ will be denoted by $C(\sigma, X)$.

Definition 1.2. Let σ_1, σ_2 be two facets in a pure simplicial complex X . Let $\psi : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$ be a bijection. We shall say that ψ is *admissible* if (ψ is a bijection and) the distance between x and $\psi(x)$ in the edge graph of X is ≥ 3 for each $x \in \sigma_1$. Notice that if σ_1, σ_2 are from different connected components of X then any bijection between them is admissible. Also note that, in general, for the existence of an admissible map $\psi : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$, the facets σ_1 and σ_2 must be disjoint.

Definition 1.3. Let X be a weak pseudomanifold with disjoint facets σ_1, σ_2 . Let $\psi : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$ be an admissible bijection. Let X^ψ denote the weak pseudomanifold obtained from $X \setminus \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ by identifying x with $\psi(x)$ for each $x \in \sigma_1$. Then X^ψ is said to be obtained from X by an *elementary handle addition*. If X_1, X_2 are two d -dimensional weak pseudomanifolds with disjoint vertex-sets, σ_i a facet of X_i ($i = 1, 2$) and $\psi : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$ any bijection, then $(X_1 \sqcup X_2)^\psi$ is called an *elementary connected sum* of X_1 and X_2 , and is denoted by $X_1 \#_\psi X_2$ (or simply by $X_1 \# X_2$). Note that the combinatorial type of $X_1 \#_\psi X_2$ depends on the choice of the bijection ψ . However, when X_1, X_2 are connected triangulated d -manifolds, $|X_1 \#_\psi X_2|$ is the topological connected sum of $|X_1|$ and $|X_2|$ (taken with appropriate orientations). Thus, $X_1 \#_\psi X_2$ is a triangulated manifold whenever X_1, X_2 are triangulated d -manifolds.

Lemma 1.1. Let N be a $(d-1)$ -dimensional induced subcomplex of a d -dimensional simplicial complex M . If both M and N are normal pseudomanifolds then

- (a) for any vertex u of N and any vertex v of the simplicial complement $C(N, M)$, there is a path P (in M) joining u to v such that u is the only vertex in $P \cap N$, and
- (b) the simplicial complement $C(N, M)$ has at most two connected components.

Proof. Part (a) is trivial if $d = 1$ (in which case, $N = S_2^0$ and $M = S_n^1$). So, assume $d > 1$ and we have the result for smaller dimensions. Clearly, there is a path P (in the edge graph of M) joining u to v such that $P = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k y_1 \cdots y_l$ where $x_1 = u$, $y_l = v$ and x_i 's are the only vertices of P from N . Choose k to be the smallest possible. We claim that $k = 1$, so that the result follows. If not, then $x_{k-1} \in \text{lk}_N(x_k) \subset \text{lk}_M(x_k)$ and $y_1 \in C(\text{lk}_N(x_k), \text{lk}_M(x_k))$. Then, by induction hypothesis, there is a path Q in $\text{lk}_M(x_k)$ joining x_{k-1} and y_1 in which x_{k-1} is the only vertex from $\text{lk}_N(x_k)$. Replacing the part

$x_{k-1}x_ky_1$ of P by the path Q , we get a path P' from u to v where only the first $k-1$ vertices of P' are from N . This contradicts the choice of k .

The proof of Part (b) is also by induction on the dimension d . The result is trivial for $d = 1$. For $d > 1$, fix a vertex u of N . By induction hypothesis, $C(\text{lk}_N(u), \text{lk}_M(u))$ has at most two connected components. By Part (a) of this lemma, every vertex v of $C(N, M)$ is joined by a path in $C(N, M)$ to a vertex in one of these components. Hence the result. $\square$

Let N be an induced subcomplex of a simplicial complex M . One says that N is *two-sided* in M if $|N|$ has a (tubular) neighbourhood in $|M|$ homeomorphic to $|N| \times [-1, 1]$ such that the image of $|N|$ (under this homeomorphism) is $|N| \times \{0\}$.

Lemma 1.2. *Let M be a normal pseudomanifold of dimension $d \geq 2$ and A be a set of vertices of M such that the induced subcomplex $M[A]$ of M on A is a $(d-1)$ -dimensional normal pseudomanifold. Let G be the graph whose vertices are the edges of M with exactly one end in A , two such vertices being adjacent in G if the union of the corresponding edges is a 2-simplex of M . Then G has at most two connected components. If, further, $M[A]$ is two-sided in M then G has exactly two connected components.*

Proof. Let $E = V(G)$ be the set of edges of M with exactly one end in A . For $x \in A$, set $E_x = \{e \in E : x \in e\}$, and let $G_x = G[E_x]$ be the induced subgraph of G on E_x . Note that G_x is isomorphic to the edge graph of $C(\text{lk}_{M[A]}(x), \text{lk}_M(x))$. Therefore, by Lemma 1.1 (b), G_x has at most two components for each $x \in A$. Also, for an edge xy in $M[A]$, there is a d -simplex σ of M such that xy is in σ . Since the induced complex $M[A]$ is $(d-1)$ -dimensional, there is a vertex $u \in \sigma \setminus A$. Then $e_1 = xu \in E_x$ and $e_2 = yu \in E_y$ are adjacent in G . Thus, if x, y are adjacent vertices in $M[A]$ then there is an edge of G between E_x and E_y . Since $M[A]$ is connected and $V(G) = \cup_{x \in A} E_x$, it follows that G has at most two connected components.

Now suppose $S = M[A]$ is two-sided in M . Let U be a tubular neighbourhood of $|S|$ in $|M|$ such that $U \setminus |S|$ has two components, say U^+ and U^- . Since $|S|$ is compact, we can choose U sufficiently small so that U does not contain any vertex from $V(M) \setminus A$. Then, for $e \in E$, $|e|$ meets either U^+ or U^- but not both. Put $E^\pm = \{e \in E : |e| \cap U^\pm \neq \emptyset\}$. Then no element of E^+ is adjacent in G with any element of E^- . From the previous argument, one sees that each $x \in A$ is in an edge from E^+ and in an edge from E^- . Thus, both E^+ and E^- are non-empty. So, G is disconnected. $\square$

Lemma 1.3. *Let X be a normal d -pseudomanifold with an induced two-sided standard $(d-1)$ -sphere S . Then there is a d -dimensional weak pseudomanifold $\tilde{X}$ such that X is obtained from $\tilde{X}$ by elementary handle addition. Further,*

- (a) *the connected components of $\tilde{X}$ are normal d -pseudomanifolds,*
- (b) *$\tilde{X}$ has at most two connected components,*
- (c) *if $\tilde{X}$ is not connected, then $X = Y_1 \# Y_2$, where Y_1, Y_2 are the connected components of $\tilde{X}$, and*
- (d) *if $C(S, X)$ is connected then $\tilde{X}$ is connected.*

Proof. As above, let E be the set of all edges of X with exactly one end in S . Let E^+ and E^- be the connected components of the graph G (with vertex-set E) defined above (cf. Lemma 1.2). Notice that if a facet σ intersects $V(S)$ then σ contains edges from E , and the graph G induces a connected subgraph on the set $E_\sigma = \{e \in E : e \subseteq \sigma\}$. (Indeed, this

subgraph is the line graph of a complete bipartite graph.) Consequently, either $E_\sigma \subseteq E^+$ or $E_\sigma \subseteq E^-$. Accordingly, we say that the facet σ is positive or negative (relative to S). If a facet σ of X does not intersect $V(S)$ then we shall say that σ is a neutral facet.

Let $V(S) = W$ and $V(X) \setminus V(S) = U$. Take two disjoint sets W^+ and W^- , both disjoint from U , together with two bijections $f_\pm: W \rightarrow W^\pm$. We define a pure simplicial complex $\tilde{X}$ as follows. The vertex-set of $\tilde{X}$ is $U \sqcup W^+ \sqcup W^-$. The facets of $\tilde{X}$ are: (i) W^+ , W^- , (ii) all the neutral facets of X , (iii) for each positive facet σ of X , the set $\tilde{\sigma} := (\sigma \cap U) \sqcup f_+(\sigma \cap W)$, and (iv) for each negative facet τ of X , the set $\tilde{\tau} := (\tau \cap U) \sqcup f_-(\tau \cap W)$. Clearly, $\tilde{X}$ is a weak pseudomanifold. Let $\psi = f_- \circ f_+^{-1}: W^+ \rightarrow W^-$. It is easy to see that ψ is admissible and $X = (\tilde{X})^\psi$.

Since the links of faces of dimension up to $d-2$ in X are connected, it follows that the links of faces of dimension up to $d-2$ in $\tilde{X}$ are connected. This proves (a).

As X is connected, choosing two vertices $f_\pm(x_0) \in W^\pm$ of $\tilde{X}$, one sees that each vertex of $\tilde{X}$ is joined by a path in the edge graph of $\tilde{X}$ to either $f_+(x_0)$ or $f_-(x_0)$. Hence $\tilde{X}$ has at most two components. This proves (b). This argument also shows that when $\tilde{X}$ is disconnected, W^+ and W^- are facets in different components of $\tilde{X}$. Hence (c) follows.

Observe that $C(S, X) = C(W^+ \sqcup W^-, \tilde{X})$. Assume that $C(S, X)$ is connected. Now, for any $(d-1)$ -simplex $\tau \subseteq W^+$, there is a vertex x in $C(S, X)$ such that $\tau \cup \{x\}$ is a facet of $\tilde{X}$. So, $C(S, X)$ and W^+ are in the same connected component of $\tilde{X}$. Similarly, $C(S, X)$ and W^- are in the same connected component of $\tilde{X}$. This proves (d). $\square$

Definition 1.4. If S is an induced two-sided S_{d+1}^{d-1} in a normal d -pseudomanifold X , then the pure simplicial complex $\tilde{X}$ constructed above is said to be obtained from X by an *elementary handle deletion* over S .

Remark 1.1. In Lemma 1.3, if X is a triangulated manifold then it is easy to see that $\tilde{X}$ is also a triangulated manifold.

2 Stacked spheres

Let X be a pure d -dimensional simplicial complex and Y be obtained from X by starring a new vertex v in a facet σ . Clearly, Y is a normal pseudomanifold if and only if X is so. Since Y is a subdivision of X , it follows that X is a combinatorial manifold (respectively, combinatorial sphere) if and only if Y is a combinatorial manifold (respectively, combinatorial sphere). Notice that the new vertex v is of degree $d+1$ in Y , and when $d > 1$ the edge graph of X is the induced subgraph of the edge graph of Y on the vertex set $V(Y) \setminus \{v\}$.

Now, if Y is a normal d -pseudomanifold, then note that for any vertex u of Y , $\text{lk}_Y(u)$ is a normal $(d-1)$ -pseudomanifold, hence has at least $d+1$ vertices. Thus, each vertex of Y has degree $\geq d+1$. If u is a vertex of Y of (minimal) degree $d+1$ and the number of vertices in Y is $> d+2$, then consider the pure simplicial complex X with vertex set $V(Y) \setminus \{u\}$, whose facets are the facets of Y not passing through u , and the set of all $d+1$ neighbours of u . We say that X is obtained from Y by *collapsing* the vertex u . Clearly, this is the reverse of the operation of starring a vertex u in a facet of X .

Definition 2.1. A simplicial complex X is said to be a *stacked d -sphere* if there is a finite sequence $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_m$ of simplicial complexes such that $X_0 = S_{d+2}^d$, the standard d -sphere, $X_m = X$ and X_i is obtained from X_{i-1} by starring a new vertex in a facet of X_{i-1} for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Thus an n -vertex stacked d -sphere is obtained from the standard d -sphere by

$(n - d - 2)$ -fold starring. This implies that every stacked sphere is a combinatorial sphere. Since, for $d > 1$, each starring increases the number of edges by $d + 1$, it follows that any n -vertex stacked d -sphere has exactly $\binom{d+2}{2} + (n - d - 2)(d + 1) = n(d + 1) - \binom{d+2}{2}$ edges.

In [5], Barnette proved that any n -vertex polytopal d -sphere has at least $n(d + 1) - \binom{d+2}{2}$ edges. In [8], Kalai proved this result for triangulated manifolds and also proved that, for $d \geq 3$, equality holds in this inequality only for stacked spheres. In [15], Tay generalized these results to normal pseudomanifolds to prove:

Theorem 1. (*Lower Bound Theorem for Normal Pseudomanifolds*) *For $d \geq 2$, any n -vertex normal d -pseudomanifold has at least $n(d + 1) - \binom{d+2}{2}$ edges. For $d \geq 3$, equality holds only for stacked spheres.*

In [4], we have presented a self-contained combinatorial proof of Theorem 1. Using induction, it is not difficult to prove the next four lemmas (see [4] for complete proofs).

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a normal pseudomanifold of dimension $d \geq 2$.*

- (a) *If X is not the standard d -sphere then any two vertices of degree $d + 1$ in X are non-adjacent.*
- (b) *If X is a stacked sphere then X has at least two vertices of degree $d + 1$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let X, Y be normal d -pseudomanifolds. Suppose Y is obtained from X by starring a new vertex in a facet of X . Then Y is a stacked sphere if and only if X is a stacked sphere.*

Lemma 2.3. *The link of a vertex in a stacked sphere is a stacked sphere.*

Lemma 2.4. *Any stacked sphere is uniquely determined by its edge graph.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let X_1, X_2 be normal d -pseudomanifolds. Then $X_1 \# X_2$ is a stacked d -sphere if and only if both X_1, X_2 are stacked d -spheres.*

Proof. Induction on the number $n \geq d + 3$ of vertices in $X_1 \# X_2$. If $n = d + 3$ then both X_1, X_2 must be standard d -spheres (hence stacked spheres) and then $X_1 \# X_2 = S_2^0 * S_{d+1}^{d-1}$ is easily seen to be a stacked sphere. So, assume $n > d + 3$, so that at least one of X_1, X_2 is not the standard d -sphere. Without loss of generality, say X_1 is not the standard d -sphere. Of course, $X = X_1 \# X_2$ is not a standard d -sphere. Let X be obtained from $X_1 \sqcup X_2 \setminus \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ by identifying a facet σ_1 of X_1 with a facet σ_2 of X_2 by some bijection. Then, $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ is a clique in the edge graph of X , though it is not a facet of X . Notice that a vertex $x \in V(X_1) \setminus \sigma_1$ is of degree $d + 1$ in X_1 if and only if it is of degree $d + 1$ in X . If either X_1 is a stacked sphere or X is a stacked sphere then, by Lemma 2.1, such a vertex x exists. Let $\tilde{X}_1$ (respectively, $\tilde{X}$) be obtained from X_1 (respectively, X) by collapsing this vertex x . Notice that $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}_1 \# X_2$. Therefore, by induction hypothesis and Lemma 2.2, we have: X is a stacked sphere $\iff \tilde{X}$ is a stacked sphere $\iff$ both $\tilde{X}_1$ and X_2 are stacked spheres $\iff$ both X_1 and X_2 are stacked spheres. $\square$

Definition 2.2. For $d \geq 2$, $\mathcal{K}(d)$ will denote the family of all normal d -pseudomanifolds X such that the link of each vertex of X is a stacked $(d - 1)$ -sphere. Since all stacked spheres are combinatorial spheres, it follows that the members of $\mathcal{K}(d)$ are combinatorial d -manifolds. Notice that, Lemma 2.3 says that all stacked d -spheres belong to the class $\mathcal{K}(d)$. Also, for $d \geq 2$, K_{2d+3}^d and all the simplicial complexes $K_{2d+4}^d(p)$ constructed in Section 3 are in the class $\mathcal{K}(d)$ (cf. Proof of Lemma 3.2).

Lemma 2.6. (*Walkup*) Let X be a normal d -pseudomanifold and $\psi: \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$ be an admissible bijection, where σ_1, σ_2 are facets of X . Then $X^\psi \in \mathcal{K}(d)$ if and only if $X \in \mathcal{K}(d)$.

Proof. For a vertex v of X , let $\bar{v}$ denote the corresponding vertex of X^ψ . Observe that $\text{lk}_{X^\psi}(\bar{v})$ is isomorphic to $\text{lk}_X(v)$ if $v \in V(X) \setminus (\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2)$ and $\text{lk}_{X^\psi}(\bar{v}) = \text{lk}_X(v) \# \text{lk}_X(\psi(v))$ if $v \in \sigma_1$. The result now follows from Lemma 2.5. $\square$

Theorem 2. For $d \geq 2$, there is a unique $(3d+4)$ -vertex stacked d -sphere $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{3d+4}^d$ which has a pair of facets with an admissible bijection between them. Further, this pair of facets and the admissible bijection between them is unique up to automorphisms of $\mathcal{S}$.

Proof. Uniqueness: Let V^+ and V^- be two (disjoint) facets in a $(3d+4)$ -vertex stacked d -sphere $\mathcal{S}$, and $\psi: V^+ \rightarrow V^-$ be an admissible bijection. Put $V(\mathcal{S}) = U \sqcup V^+ \sqcup V^-$. Thus, $\#(U) = d+2$. Since ψ is admissible, for each $x \in V^+$, none of the $3d+2$ vertices of $\mathcal{S}$ other than x and $\psi(x)$ is adjacent (in the edge graph of $\mathcal{S}$) with both x and $\psi(x)$. Further, x and $\psi(x)$ are non-adjacent. Therefore,

$$\deg(x) + \deg(\psi(x)) \leq 3d+2, \quad x \in V^+. \quad (1)$$

Also, for $y \in U$, y is adjacent to at most one vertex in the pair $\{x, \psi(x)\}$ for each $x \in V^+$, and these $d+1$ pairs partition $V(\mathcal{S}) \setminus U$. So, each $y \in U$ has at most $d+1$ neighbours outside U . Since y can have at most $d+1 = \#(U \setminus \{y\})$ neighbours in U , it follows that

$$\deg(y) \leq 2d+2, \quad y \in U. \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2), we get by addition,

$$\sum_{x \in V^+} \deg(x) + \sum_{x \in V^+} \deg(\psi(x)) + \sum_{y \in U} \deg(y) \leq (d+1)(3d+2) + (d+2)(2d+2) = (d+1)(5d+6).$$

Now, the left hand side in this inequality is the sum of the degrees of all the vertices of $\mathcal{S}$, which equals twice the number of edges of $\mathcal{S}$. Thus $\mathcal{S}$ has at most $(d+1)(5d+6)/2$ edges. But, as $\mathcal{S}$ is a $(3d+4)$ -vertex stacked d -sphere and $d \geq 2$, it has exactly $(3d+4)(d+1) - \binom{d+2}{2} = (d+1)(5d+6)/2$ edges. Hence we must have equality in (1) and (2). Thus we have equality throughout the arguments leading to (1) and (2). Therefore we have: (a) U is a $(d+2)$ -clique in the edge graph G of $\mathcal{S}$, and (b) for each $y \in U$ and $x \in V^+$, y is adjacent to exactly one of the vertices x and $\psi(x)$. Notice that, since U , V^+ and V^- are cliques and there is no edge between V^+ and V^- , it follows that G is completely determined by its (bipartite) subgraph H whose edges are the edges of G between U and V^+ .

Let $0 \leq m \leq d+1$.

Claim. There exist x_i^+ , $1 \leq i \leq m$, in V^+ and y_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, in U such that for each i ($1 \leq i \leq m$), the i vertices $y_1, \dots, y_i$ are the only vertices from U adjacent to x_i^+ . Further, there is a stacked d -sphere $X(m)$ with vertex-set $V(\mathcal{S}) \setminus \{x_i^+ : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ whose edge graph is the induced subgraph G_m of G on this vertex set.

We prove the claim by finite induction on m . The claim is trivially correct for $m = 0$ (take $X(0) = \mathcal{S}$, $G_0 = G$). So, assume $1 \leq m \leq d+1$ and the claim is valid for all smaller values of m . By Lemma 2.1, $X(m-1)$ has at least two vertices of degree $d+1$ and they are non-adjacent in G_{m-1} . Since each vertex of U has degree $2d+2$ in G , it has degree $\geq 2d+2 - (m-1) > d+1$ in G_{m-1} . Since V^- is a clique of G_{m-1} , at least one of the degree $d+1$ vertices of G_{m-1} is in $V^+ \setminus \{x_i^+ : 1 \leq i < m\}$. Let x_m^+ be a vertex of degree $d+1$ in

G_{m-1} from $V^+ \setminus \{x_i^+ : 1 \leq i < m\}$. Notice that x_{m-1}^+ is a vertex of degree $d+1$ in $X(m-2)$; its set of neighbours in G_{m-2} is $\{y_j : 1 \leq j \leq m-1\} \sqcup (V^+ \setminus \{x_i^+ : 1 \leq i \leq m-1\})$. Since $\text{lk}_{X(m-2)}(x_{m-1}^+)$ is an S_{d+1}^{d-1} , all the neighbours of x_{m-1}^+ are mutually adjacent (in G_{m-2} and hence) in G . Thus, the vertices y_j , $1 \leq j \leq m-1$, are adjacent in G with each vertex in $V^+ \setminus \{x_i^+ : 1 \leq i \leq m-1\}$. In particular, x_m^+ is adjacent (in G and hence) in G_{m-1} to the $m-1$ vertices y_j , $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ in U . It is also adjacent to the $d+1-m$ vertices in $V^+ \setminus \{x_i^+ : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ and to no vertex in V^- . Since x_m^+ is of degree $d+1$ in G_{m-1} , it follows that there is a unique vertex $y_m \in U \setminus \{y_i : 1 \leq i \leq m-1\}$ which is adjacent to x_m^+ (in G_{m-1} and hence) in G . By construction, $y_1, \dots, y_m$ are the only vertices in U adjacent to x_m^+ . Now, let $X(m)$ be obtained from $X(m-1)$ by collapsing the vertex x_m^+ of degree $d+1$. By Lemma 2.2, $X(m)$ is a stacked sphere. Its edge graph is the induced subgraph G_m of G on the vertex-set $V(\mathcal{S}) \setminus \{x_i^+ : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. This completes the induction step and hence proves the claim.

Now, by the final step $m = d+1$, we have named the vertices in V^+ as x_i^+ , $1 \leq i \leq d+1$. We have also named $d+1$ of the vertices in U as y_i , $1 \leq i \leq d+1$. Let y_{d+2} be the unique vertex in $U \setminus \{y_i : 1 \leq i \leq d+1\}$. Also, put $x_i^- = \psi(x_i^+) \in V^-$, $1 \leq i \leq d+1$. Thus, x_i^- is adjacent to y_j if and only if x_i^+ is non-adjacent with y_j . This completes the description of the edge graph G of $\mathcal{S}$. The vertices of G are x_i^+ , x_i^- ($1 \leq i \leq d+1$) and y_j , $1 \leq j \leq d+2$. x_i^+ and x_j^+ (as well as x_i^- and x_j^-) are adjacent in G for $i \neq j$. y_i and y_j are adjacent in G for $i \neq j$. x_i^+ and x_j^- are non-adjacent in G for all i, j . x_i^+ and y_j are adjacent in G if and only if $j \leq i$. x_i^- and y_j are adjacent in G if and only if $j > i$.

Since the edge graph G is thus completely determined by the given datum, Lemma 2.4 implies that $\mathcal{S}$ is uniquely determined. Notice that the graph G has maximum vertex degree $2d+2$, and the set U is uniquely determined by G as the set of its vertices of maximum degree. Also, the facets V^+ , V^- are determined by G as the connected components of the induced subgraph of G on the complement of U . Finally, the above argument shows that the admissible bijection $\psi: V^+ \rightarrow V^-$ is also determined by G since it must map the unique vertex of degree $d+i$ in V^+ to the unique vertex of degree $2d+2-i$ in V^- ($1 \leq i \leq d+1$). Notice that $\mathcal{S}$ has an automorphism of order two which interchanges x_i^+ and x_{d+2-i}^- for each i and interchanges y_j and y_{d+3-j} for each j . This automorphism interchanges V^+ and V^- and replaces ψ by ψ^{-1} . This completes the uniqueness proof.

Existence of $\mathcal{S}_{3d+4}^d$: The simplicial complex ∂N_{3d+4}^{d+1} constructed in the next section is a $(3d+4)$ -vertex stacked d -sphere (cf. proof of Lemma 3.2) with an admissible bijection $\psi_0: B_{2d+3} \rightarrow A_{2d+3}$ (cf. the paragraph before Lemma 3.3). This proves the existence. $\square$

Remark 2.1. (a) The proof of Theorem 2, in conjunction with the Lower Bound Theorem, actually shows the following. If X is an n -vertex normal d -pseudomanifold with an admissible bijection, then $n \geq 3d+4$, and equality holds only for $X = \mathcal{S}_{3d+4}^d$. (b) If ψ is the admissible bijection on $\mathcal{S}_{3d+4}^d$, then it is possible to verify directly that $(\mathcal{S}_{3d+4}^d)^\psi = K_{2d+3}^d$. This is also immediate from the proof of Theorem 4 below.

3 Some Examples

Recall that for any positive integer n , a *partition* of n is a finite weakly increasing sequence of positive integers adding to n . The terms of the sequence are called the *parts* of the partition. Let's say that a partition of n is *even* (respectively, *odd*) if it has an even (respectively, odd) number of even parts. Let $P(n)$ (respectively $P_0(n)$, respectively $P_1(n)$) denote the total number of partitions (respectively even partitions, respectively odd partitions) of n .

To appreciate the construction given below, it is important to understand the growth rate of these number theoretic functions P_ε , $\varepsilon = 0, 1$. Recall that if f, g are two real valued functions on the set of positive integers, then one says that f, g are *asymptotically equal* (in symbols, $f(n) \sim g(n)$) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 1$. A famous theorem of Hardy and Ramanujan (cf. [12]) says that

$$P(n) \sim \frac{c_1}{n} e^{c_2 \sqrt{n}} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3)$$

where the absolute constants c_1, c_2 are given by

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}}, \quad c_2 = \pi\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

We observe that :

Lemma 3.1. $P_0(n) \sim \frac{c_1}{2n} e^{c_2 \sqrt{n}}$, $P_1(n) \sim \frac{c_1}{2n} e^{c_2 \sqrt{n}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. In view of (3), it suffices to show that $P_0(n) \sim \frac{1}{2}P(n)$, $P_1(n) \sim \frac{1}{2}P(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, $(p_1, \dots, p_k) \mapsto (1, p_1, \dots, p_k)$ is a one to one function from the set of even (respectively, odd) partitions of $n-1$ to the set of even (respectively, odd) partitions of n . Also, $(p_1, \dots, p_k) \mapsto (p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}, p_k+1)$ is a one to one function from the set of even (respectively, odd) partitions of $n-1$ to the set of odd (respectively, even) partitions of n . Therefore, $\min(P_0(n), P_1(n)) \geq \max(P_0(n-1), P_1(n-1))$. Since $P_0(n-1) + P_1(n-1) = P(n-1)$, it follows that

$$P_0(n) \geq \frac{1}{2}P(n-1) \text{ and } P_1(n) \geq \frac{1}{2}P(n-1).$$

But, from (3) it follows that $P(n-1) \sim P(n)$. Therefore, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_0(n)}{P(n)} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_1(n)}{P(n)} \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

But, $P_0(n) + P_1(n) = P(n)$. Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_0(n)}{P(n)} = \frac{1}{2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_1(n)}{P(n)}$. $\square$

The Construction : For $d \geq 2$, let N^{d+1} denote the pure $(d+1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex with vertex-set $\mathbb{Z}$ (the set of all integers) such that the facets of N^{d+1} are the sets of $d+2$ consecutive integers. Then N^{d+1} is a combinatorial $(d+1)$ -manifold with boundary $M^d = \partial N^{d+1}$. Now, M^d is a combinatorial d -manifold ($\in \mathcal{K}(d)$) and triangulates $\mathbb{R} \times S^{d-1}$ (cf. [9]). Clearly, the facets of M^d are of the form $\sigma_{n,i} := \{n, n+1, \dots, n+d+1\} \setminus \{n+i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (intervals of length $d+2$ minus an interior point).

For $m \geq 1$, let N_{m+d+1}^{d+1} (respectively, M_{m+d+1}^d) denote the induced subcomplex of N^{d+1} (respectively, M^d) on $m+d+1$ consecutive vertices (without loss of generality we may take $V(N_{m+d+1}^{d+1}) = V(M_{m+d+1}^d) = \{1, 2, \dots, m+d+1\}$). Clearly, M_{m+d+1}^d triangulates $[0, 1] \times S^{d-1}$ and $\partial M_{m+d+1}^d = S_{d+1}^{d-1}(A_m) \sqcup S_{d+1}^{d-1}(B_m)$, where $A_m = \{1, \dots, d+1\}$ and $B_m = \{m+1, \dots, m+d+1\}$.

Lemma 3.2. (a) ∂N_{m+d+1}^{d+1} is a stacked d -sphere and A_m, B_m are two of its facets. (b) If $\psi: B_m \rightarrow A_m$ is an admissible bijection then $X_m^d(\psi) := (\partial N_{m+d+1}^{d+1})^\psi$ is a combinatorial d -manifold and triangulates $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$, where $\varepsilon = 0$ if $X_m^d(\psi)$ is orientable and $\varepsilon = 1$ otherwise.

Proof. Observe that ∂N_{d+2}^{d+1} is the standard d -sphere and for $m \geq 2$, ∂N_{m+d+1}^{d+1} is obtained from ∂N_{m+d}^{d+1} by starring the new vertex $m+d+1$ in the facet $B_{m-1} = \{m, \dots, m+d\}$ of ∂N_{m+d}^{d+1} . Thus, ∂N_{m+d+1}^{d+1} is a stacked d -sphere. A_m is a facet of ∂N_{i+d+1}^{d+1} for all $i \geq 1$ and from construction, B_m is a facet of ∂N_{m+d+1}^{d+1} . This proves (a).

Thus, by Lemma 2.3, ∂N_{m+d+1}^{d+1} is in $\mathcal{K}(d)$. Then, by Lemma 2.6, $X_m^d(\psi)$ is in the class $\mathcal{K}(d)$. In consequence, $X_m^d(\psi)$ is a combinatorial d -manifold. Since M_{m+d+1}^d triangulates $[0, 1] \times S^{d-1}$ and $M_{m+d+1}^d = \partial N_{m+d+1}^{d+1} \setminus \{A_m, B_m\}$, it follows that $X_m^d(\psi) (= (\partial N_{m+d+1}^{d+1})^\psi)$ triangulates an S^{d-1} -bundle over S^1 . But, there are only two such bundles: $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon = 0, 1$ (cf. [14, pages 134–135]). This is orientable for $\varepsilon = 0$ and non-orientable for $\varepsilon = 1$. Hence the result. $\square$

Notice that $x \in B_m$ is at a distance ≥ 3 from $y \in A_m$ (in the edge graph of ∂N_{m+d+1}^{d+1}) if and only if $x - y \geq 2d + 3$. Therefore, if $m \leq 2d + 2$, it is easy to see that there is no admissible bijection $\psi: B_m \rightarrow A_m$. For $m \geq 2d + 3$ the map $\psi_0: B_m \rightarrow A_m$ given by $\psi_0(m+i) = i$ is admissible. When $m = 2d + 3$, it is the only admissible map and the resulting combinatorial manifold $X_{2d+3}^d(\psi_0)$ is Kühnel's K_{2d+3}^d , triangulating $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$, $d \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{2}$, whose uniqueness we prove in Section 4 below. For $m \geq 2d + 3$, Kühnel and Lassmann constructed $X_m^d(\psi_0)$ and proved that for m odd $X_m^d(\psi_0)$ is orientable if and only if d is even (cf. [10]). Here we have:

Lemma 3.3. *Let $m \geq 2d + 3$. If md is even then for any admissible $\psi: B_m \rightarrow A_m$, the combinatorial d -manifold $X_m^d(\psi)$ is orientable if and only if $\psi \circ \psi_0^{-1}$ is an even permutation. In other words, if $\psi \circ \psi_0^{-1}$ is an even (respectively, odd) permutation then $X_m^d(\psi)$ is a combinatorial triangulation of $S^{1,d-1}(0)$ (respectively, $S^{1,d-1}(1)$).*

Proof. For $1 \leq k \leq m$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, let $\sigma_{k,i}$ denote the facet $\{k, k+1, \dots, k+d+1\} \setminus \{k+i\}$ and for $0 \leq i < j \leq d+1$, $(i, j) \neq (0, d+1)$, let $\sigma_{k,i,j}$ denote the $(d-1)$ -simplex $\{k, k+1, \dots, k+d+1\} \setminus \{k+i, k+j\}$ of M_{m+d+1}^d . Consider the orientation on M_{m+d+1}^d given by:

$$\begin{aligned} +\sigma_{k,i,j} &= (-1)^{kd+i+j} \langle k, \dots, k+i-1, k+i+1, \dots, k+j-1, k+j+1, \dots, k+d+1 \rangle, \\ +\sigma_{k,i} &= (-1)^{kd+i} \langle k, k+1, \dots, k+i-1, k+i+1, \dots, k+d+1 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

By an easy computation one sees that the incidence numbers satisfy the following: $[\sigma_{k,i}, \sigma_{k,i,j}] = -1$, $[\sigma_{k,j}, \sigma_{k,i,j}] = 1$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq d$, $1 \leq k \leq m$ and $[\sigma_{k,i}, \sigma_{k,0,i}] = 1$, $[\sigma_{k+1,i-1}, \sigma_{k,0,i}] = [\sigma_{k+1,i-1}, \sigma_{k+1,i-1,d+1}] = (-1)^{2d-1} = -1$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, $1 \leq k < m$. Thus, (4) gives an orientation on M_{m+d+1}^d .

Let $\bar{\sigma}_{k,i}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_{k,i,j}$ denote the corresponding simplices in $X_m^d(\psi_0)$. Observe that $\bar{\sigma}_{k,0,j} = \bar{\sigma}_{k+1,j-1,d+1}$ for $1 \leq k < m$ and $\bar{\sigma}_{m,0,j} = \bar{\sigma}_{1,j-1,d+1}$. (The vertex-set of $X_m^d(\psi_0)$ is the set of integers modulo m .) Then the above orientation induces an orientation on $X_m^d(\psi_0)$. (This is well defined since $+\sigma_{m,0,j} = (-1)^{md+j} \langle m+1, \dots, m+j-1, m+j+1, \dots, m+d+1 \rangle = (-1)^j \langle 1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, d+1 \rangle = (-1)^{d+(j-1)+(d+1)} \langle 1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, d+1 \rangle = +\sigma_{1,j-1,d+1}$.) Now, $[\bar{\sigma}_{m,j}, \bar{\sigma}_{m,0,j}] = 1$, $[\bar{\sigma}_{1,j-1}, \bar{\sigma}_{m,0,j}] = [\bar{\sigma}_{1,j-1}, \bar{\sigma}_{1,j-1,d+1}] = -1$. Thus, $[\bar{\sigma}_{m,j}, \bar{\sigma}_{m,0,j}] = -[\bar{\sigma}_{1,j-1}, \bar{\sigma}_{m,0,j}]$. Therefore, the induced orientation on $X_m^d(\psi_0)$ is coherent. So, $X_m^d(\psi_0)$ is orientable. This implies that $X_m^d(\psi_0)$ triangulates $S^1 \times S^{d-1} = S^{1,d-1}(0)$.

Since $|M_{m+d+1}^d|$ is homeomorphic to $|S_{d+1}^{d-1}(B_m)| \times [0, 1]$, we can choose an orientation on $|S_{d+1}^{d-1}(B_m)|$ so that the orientation on $|M_{m+d+1}^d|$ as the product $|S_{d+1}^{d-1}(B_m)| \times [0, 1]$ is the same as the orientation given in (4). This also induces an orientation on $|S_{d+1}^{d-1}(A_m)|$. Let S_B (respectively, S_A) denote the oriented sphere $|S_{d+1}^{d-1}(B_m)|$ (respectively $|S_{d+1}^{d-1}(A_m)|$) with this orientation. Then, as the boundary of an oriented manifold, $\partial(|M_{m+d+1}^d|) = S_A \cup (-S_B)$. [In fact, it is not difficult to see that the orientation defined in (4) on $S_{d+1}^{d-1}(A_m)$ (respectively $S_{d+1}^{d-1}(B_m)$) is the same as the orientation in S_A (respectively S_B).]

Let $|\psi_0|: S_B \rightarrow S_A$ be the homeomorphism induced by ψ_0 . Since $|X_m^d(\psi_0)|$ is orientable, it follows that $|\psi_0|: S_B \rightarrow S_A$ is orientation preserving (cf. [14, pages 134–135]).

Therefore, $\psi \circ \psi_0^{-1}$ is an even (respectively odd) permutation $\implies |\psi \circ \psi_0^{-1}|: S_A \rightarrow S_A$ is orientation preserving (respectively reversing) $\implies |\psi| = |\psi \circ \psi_0^{-1}| \circ |\psi_0|: S_B \rightarrow S_A$ is orientation preserving (respectively reversing) $\implies |X_m^d(\psi)|$ is orientable (respectively non-orientable). Hence, the result follows from Lemma 3.2. $\square$

Now take $m = 2d + 4$. A bijection $\psi: \{2d + 5, \dots, 3d + 5\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, d + 1\}$ is admissible for ∂N_{3d+5}^{d+1} if and only if $x - \psi(x) \geq 2d + 3$ for $2d + 5 \leq x \leq 3d + 5$. It turns out that there are 2^d distinct admissible choices for ψ . But it seems difficult to decide when two admissible choices for ψ yield isomorphic complexes $X_{2d+4}^d(\psi)$. So, we specialize as follows:

Let $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k)$ be a partition of $d + 1$. Put $s_0 = 0$ and $s_j = \sum_{i=1}^j p_i$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. (Thus, in particular, $s_1 = p_1$ and $s_k = d + 1$.) Let π_p be the permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, d + 1\}$ which is the product of k disjoint cycles $(s_{j-1} + 1, s_{j-1} + 2, \dots, s_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq k$. Notice that π_p is an even (respectively, odd) permutation if p is an even (respectively, odd) partition of $d + 1$. Now, define the bijection $\psi_p: \{2d + 5, 2d + 6, \dots, 3d + 5\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, d + 1\}$ by $\psi_p(2d + 4 + i) = \pi_p(i)$, $1 \leq i \leq d + 1$. Since $\pi_p(i) \leq i + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq d + 1$, it follows that ψ_p is an admissible bijection. Clearly, the corresponding complex $X_{2d+4}^d(\psi_p)$ depends only on the partition p of $d + 1$. We denote it by $K_{2d+4}^d(p)$. Note that $\pi_p = \psi_p \circ \psi_0^{-1}$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.3, $K_{2d+4}^d(p)$ triangulates $S^{1,d-1}(0)$ (respectively, $S^{1,d-1}(1)$) if p is an even (respectively odd) partition of $d + 1$.

Let G_p denote the non-edge graph of $K_{2d+4}^d(p)$. Its vertex-set is $V(K_{2d+4}^d(p))$, and two distinct vertices x, y are adjacent in G_p if xy is not an edge of $K_{2d+4}^d(p)$. It turns out that G_p has a clear description in terms of the partition p . For $b \geq 1$, let $K_{1,b}$ denote the unique graph with one vertex of degree b and b vertices of degree one. Also, let $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k)$, and put $p_0 = 1$. Then a computation shows that G_p is the disjoint union of K_{1,p_i} , $0 \leq i \leq k$. Thus, if p and q are distinct partitions of $d + 1$ then G_p and G_q are non-isomorphic (this is where our assumption that p, q are weakly increasing sequences comes into play!) and hence $K_{2d+4}^d(p)$ and $K_{2d+4}^d(q)$ are non-isomorphic complexes. Thus we have proved:

Theorem 3. *For any partition p of $d + 1 \geq 3$, let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(p) = 0$ if p is even and $= 1$ if p is odd. Then $K_{2d+4}^d(p)$ is a $(2d + 4)$ -vertex triangulation of $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$. Further, distinct partitions p of $d + 1$ correspond to non-isomorphic triangulations of $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$. In consequence, for $\varepsilon = 0, 1$, there are $(2d + 4)$ -vertex combinatorial triangulations of $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$ and the number of non-isomorphic triangulations is at least $P_\varepsilon(d + 1) \sim \frac{c_1}{2d} e^{c_2 \sqrt{d}}$.*

This theorem provides an affirmative solution of the conjecture (made by Lutz in [11]) that $S^{1,d-1}(1)$ can be triangulated by $2d + 4$ vertices for d even. Notice that each $(2d + 4)$ -vertex triangulation of $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$ constructed here has $d + 2$ non-edges. We conjecture that this is the maximum possible number of non-edges. If this is true then, for $d \equiv 1 - \varepsilon \pmod{2}$, our construction yields triangulations of $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$ with the minimum number of vertices and edges.

4 Uniqueness of K_{2d+3}^d

Recall from Section 3 that for $d \geq 2$, K_{2d+3}^d is the $(2d + 3)$ -vertex combinatorial d -manifold constructed by Kühnel in [9]. It triangulates $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$, where $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ is given by $\varepsilon \equiv d \pmod{2}$. One description of K_{2d+3}^d is implicit in Section 3. An equivalent (and somewhat simpler) description is as follows. It is the boundary complex of the combinatorial $(d + 1)$ -manifold with boundary whose vertices are the vertices of a cycle S_{2d+3}^1 of length $2d + 3$,

and facets are the sets of $d + 2$ vertices spanning a path in the cycle. From this picture, it is clear that the dihedral group of order $4d + 6$ ($= \text{Aut}(S_{2d+3}^1)$) is the full automorphism group of K_{2d+3}^d . Here we prove that for $d \geq 3$, up to simplicial isomorphism, K_{2d+3}^d is the unique $(2d + 3)$ -vertex non-simply connected triangulated d -manifold.

Lemma 4.1. (*Simplicial Alexander duality*) *Let $L \subset L'$ be induced subcomplexes of a triangulated d -manifold X . Let $R \supset R'$ be the simplicial complements in X of L and L' respectively. Then $H_{d-j}(L', L; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H_j(R, R'; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for $0 \leq j \leq d$.*

Proof. Fix a piecewise linear map $f: |X| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all vertices u of L , v of R we have $f(u) < f(v)$, and for all vertices u' of L' , v' of R' we have $f(u') < f(v')$. Choose $c < c'$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $f(u) < c < f(v)$ and $f(u') < c' < f(v')$ for all such u, v, u', v' . Define $\mathcal{L} = \{x \in |X| : f(x) \leq c\}$, $\mathcal{R} = \{x \in |X| : f(x) > c\}$, $\mathcal{L}' = \{x \in |X| : f(x) \leq c'\}$, $\mathcal{R}' = \{x \in |X| : f(x) > c'\}$. Since f is piecewise linear, it follows that $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'$ are compact polyhedra (i.e., geometric carriers of finite simplicial complexes). Also, $(|L'|, |L|)$ (respectively $(|R|, |R'|)$) is a strong deformation retract of $(\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L})$ (respectively $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}')$). Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{d-j}(L', L; \mathbb{Z}_2) &\cong H_{d-j}(|L'|, |L|; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H_{d-j}(\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H^{d-j}(\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \\ &\cong H_j(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}'; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H_j(|R|, |R'|; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H_j(R, R'; \mathbb{Z}_2) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq d. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the fourth isomorphism is because of Alexander duality (cf. [13, Theorem 17, Page 296]). The usual statement of this duality refers to Alexander cohomology, but this agrees with singular cohomology for polyhedral pairs (cf. [13, Corollary 11, Page 291]). Also, Alexander duality applies to orientable closed manifolds, but any closed manifold (such as $|X|$ in our application) is orientable over $\mathbb{Z}_2$. The third isomorphism holds since over a field, homology and cohomology are isomorphic. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a non-simply connected n -vertex triangulated manifold of dimension $d \geq 3$. Then $n \geq 2d + 3$. If further, $n = 2d + 3$, then for any facet σ of X and any vertex x outside σ , either the induced subcomplex of X on $V(X) \setminus (\sigma \cup \{x\})$ is an S_{d+1}^{d-1} or the induced subcomplex $\text{lk}_X(x)[\sigma]$ of $\text{lk}_X(x)$ on the vertex set σ is disconnected.*

Proof. Let σ be a facet and $C = C(\sigma, X)$ be its simplicial complement. Choose a small (simply connected) neighbourhood U of $|\sigma|$ in $|X|$ such that $U \cap (|X| \setminus |\sigma|)$ is homeomorphic to $S^{d-1} \times (0, 1)$. Now, $|X|$ is non-simply connected, $|X| = U \cup (|X| \setminus |\sigma|)$ and $d \geq 3$. So, by Van Kampen's theorem, $|X| \setminus |\sigma|$ is non-simply connected. But $|C|$ is a strong deformation retract of $|X| \setminus |\sigma|$. Therefore, C is non-simply connected.

Now fix a facet σ of X . Choose an ordering $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ of $V(X)$ so that $\sigma = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}\}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let L_i (respectively R_i) be the induced subcomplex of X on the vertex-set $\{x_1, \dots, x_i\}$ (respectively $\{x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n\}$). Then, by Lemma 4.1,

$$H_j(R_i, R_{i+1}) \cong H_{d-j}(L_{i+1}, L_i), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq d \text{ and } 1 \leq i < n. \quad (5)$$

Here the homologies are taken with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Since $L_1 = \{x_1\}$ is simply connected but $L_n = X$ is not, it follows that there is a (smallest) index i such that L_i is simply connected but L_{i+1} is not. Note that $i \geq d + 1$. Choose this i . Since $L_{i+1} = L_i \cup \text{st}_{L_{i+1}}(x_{i+1})$ and $L_i \cap \text{st}_{L_{i+1}}(x_{i+1}) = \text{lk}_{L_{i+1}}(x_{i+1})$, Van Kampen's theorem implies that $\text{lk}_{L_{i+1}}(x_{i+1})$ is not connected. Hence $H_1(L_{i+1}, L_i) \cong H_1(\text{st}_{L_{i+1}}(x_{i+1}), \text{lk}_{L_{i+1}}(x_{i+1})) \cong \tilde{H}_0(\text{lk}_{L_{i+1}}(x_{i+1})) \neq \{0\}$. Thus, there is an index $i \geq d + 1$ such that $H_1(L_{i+1}, L_i) \neq \{0\}$. Hence, from (5), it follows that

$$H_{d-2}(\text{lk}_{R_i}(x_{i+1})) \cong H_{d-1}(R_i, R_{i+1}) \neq \{0\} \quad \text{for some } i \geq d + 1. \quad (6)$$

Notice that we have $R_{i+1} \subset R_i \subseteq C = C(\sigma, X)$. Since $H_{d-1}(R_i, R_{i+1}) \neq \{0\}$, R_i contains at least two $(d-1)$ -faces. Hence the number of vertices in R_i is $\geq d+1$.

First suppose R_i has exactly $d+1$ vertices. Since $H_{d-2}(\text{lk}_{R_i}(x_{i+1})) \neq \{0\}$ and $\text{lk}_{R_i}(x_{i+1})$ has at most d vertices, it follows that $\text{lk}_{R_i}(x_{i+1}) = S_d^{d-2}$. Since $d \geq 3$, it follows that R_i is simply connected. As C is not simply connected, we have $R_i \subset C$ (proper inclusion). Thus $n \geq (d+1) + 1 + (d+1) = 2d+3$. Also, if the number $n-i$ of vertices in R_i is $\geq d+2$. Then $n \geq i + d + 2 \geq 2d+3$. This proves the inequality.

Now assume that $n = 2d+3$. Let $x \notin \sigma$ be a vertex such that $\text{lk}_X(x) \cap L_{d+1} (= \text{st}_X(x) \cap L_{d+1})$ is connected. Choosing the vertex order so that $x_{d+2} = x$, we get that L_{d+2} is simply connected (by Van Kampen theorem). Therefore $i \geq d+2$. Hence R_i has $\leq n-d-2 = d+1$ vertices. But, $H_{d-1}(R_i, R_{i+1}) \neq \{0\}$, so that R_i has $\geq d+1$ vertices. Therefore R_i has exactly $d+1$ vertices and hence $i = d+2$. Thus, $H_{d-2}(\text{lk}_{R_{d+2}}(x_{d+3})) \cong H_{d-1}(R_{d+2}, R_{d+3}) \neq \{0\}$. Since $\text{lk}_{R_{d+2}}(x_{d+3})$ has at most d vertices, it follows that $\text{lk}_{R_{d+2}}(x_{d+3}) = S_d^{d-2}$. Since any vertex of R_{d+2} may be chosen to be x_{d+3} in this argument, we get that all the vertex links of R_{d+2} are isomorphic to S_d^{d-2} . Hence the induced subcomplex R_{d+2} of C on the vertex set $V(X) \setminus (\sigma \cup \{x\})$ is an S_{d+1}^{d-1} . This proves the lemma. $\square$

Remark 4.1. For combinatorial manifolds, the inequality in Lemma 4.2 is a theorem due to Brehm and Kühnel [6].

Lemma 4.3. *Let X be a $(2d+3)$ -vertex non-simply connected triangulated manifold of dimension $d \geq 3$. Then, there is a facet σ of X such that its simplicial complement $C(\sigma, X)$ contains an induced S_{d+1}^{d-1} .*

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Then, by Lemma 4.2, for each facet σ of X and each vertex $x \notin \sigma$, the induced subcomplex $\text{lk}_X(x)[\sigma]$ of $\text{lk}_X(x)$ on σ is disconnected. If τ were a $(d-2)$ -face of X of degree 3, say with $\text{lk}_X(\tau) = S_3^1(\{x_1, x_2, x_3\})$, then the induced subcomplex of $\text{lk}_X(x_3)$ on the facet $\tau \cup \{x_1, x_2\}$ would be connected - a contradiction. So, X has no $(d-2)$ -face of degree 3. Now, no face γ of X of dimension $e \leq d-2$ can have (minimal) degree $d-e+1$. (In other words, the link of γ can not be a standard sphere.) Or else, any $(d-2)$ -face $\tau \supseteq \gamma$ of X would have degree 3. So, no standard sphere of positive dimension occurs as a link in X .

Now fix a facet σ of X . For each $x \in \sigma$, there is a unique vertex $x' \notin \sigma$ such that $(\sigma \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{x'\}$ is a facet. This defines a map $x \mapsto x'$ from σ to its complement. This map is injective: if we had $x'_1 = y = x'_2$ for $x_1 \neq x_2$ then the induced subcomplex of $\text{lk}_X(y)$ on σ would be connected. Also, since $\text{lk}_X(x')[\sigma]$ is disconnected, it follows that x must be an isolated vertex in $\text{lk}_X(x')[\sigma]$. This implies that xx' is an edge of X , and $V(\text{lk}_X(xx')) \subseteq V(X) \setminus (\sigma \cup \{x'\})$. Hence xx' is an edge of degree $\leq d+1$. Therefore, by the observation in the previous paragraph (with $e = 1$), $\deg_X(xx') = d+1$. In consequence, $\text{lk}_X(xx')$ is a $(d+1)$ -vertex normal $(d-2)$ -pseudomanifold. But all such normal pseudomanifolds are known: we must have $\text{lk}_X(xx') = S_{m+2}^m * S_{n+2}^n$ for some $m, n \geq 0$ with $m+n = d-3$ (cf. [2]). If $m > 0$ or $n > 0$ then S_3^1 occurs as a link (of some $(d-4)$ -simplex) in this sphere and hence it occurs as the link of a $(d-2)$ -simplex (containing xx') in X . Hence, we must have $m = n = 0$. Thus $d = 3$ and each of the four edges xx' ($x \in \sigma$) is of degree 4.

Then $\text{lk}_X(xx')$ is an $S_4^1 = S_2^0 * S_2^0$ with vertex set $V(X) \setminus (\sigma \cup \{x'\})$. In consequence, putting $C = C(\sigma, X)$, one sees that C is a 5-vertex non-simply connected simplicial complex (by the proof of Lemma 4.2) such that for at least four of the vertices x' in C , $\text{lk}_C(x') \supseteq S_4^1$. In consequence, all $\binom{5}{2} = 10$ edges occur in C . Since C is non-simply connected, it follows that C has at least one missing triangle (induced S_3^1), say with vertices y_1, y_2, y_3 . At

least two of these vertices (say y_1, y_2) have S_4^1 in their links. It follows that $\text{lk}_C(y_1) \supseteq S_2^0(\{y_2, y_3\}) * S_2^0(\{y_4, y_5\})$ and $\text{lk}_C(y_2) \supseteq S_2^0(\{y_1, y_3\}) * S_2^0(\{y_4, y_5\})$ where y_4, y_5 are the two other vertices of C . Hence $C \supseteq C_0 = (S_3^1(\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}) * S_2^0(\{y_4, y_5\})) \cup \{y_4 y_5\}$. But all 5-vertex simplicial complexes properly containing C_0 and not containing the 2-simplex $y_1 y_2 y_3$ are simply connected. So, $C = C_0$. But, then two of the vertices of C (viz. y_4, y_5) have no S_4^1 in their links, a contradiction. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 4. *For $d \geq 3$, Kühnel's complex K_{2d+3}^d is the only non-simply connected $(2d+3)$ -vertex triangulated manifold of dimension d .*

Proof. Let X be a non-simply connected $(2d+3)$ -vertex triangulated manifold of dimension $d \geq 3$. By Lemma 4.3, X must have a facet σ such that $C(\sigma, X)$ contains an induced subcomplex S which is an S_{d+1}^{d-1} . Let x be the unique vertex in $C(\sigma, X) \setminus S$. If xy is a non-edge for each $y \in \sigma$ then the normal $(d-1)$ -pseudomanifold $\text{lk}_X(x)$ is a subcomplex of the $(d-1)$ -sphere S and hence $\text{lk}_X(x) = S$. This implies that $C(\sigma, X)$ is the combinatorial d -ball $\{x\} * S$. This is not possible since $C(\sigma, X)$ is non-simply connected. Thus, x forms an edge with a vertex in σ . This implies that $C(S, X)$ is connected.

Thus, S is an induced S_{d+1}^{d-1} in X , and $C(S, X)$ is connected. Since $d \geq 3$, S is two-sided in X . By Lemma 1.3, we may delete the handle over S to get a $(3d+4)$ -vertex normal d -pseudomanifold $\tilde{X}$. Since X has at most $\binom{2d+3}{2}$ edges, it follows that $\tilde{X}$ has at most $\binom{2d+3}{2} + \binom{d+1}{2}$ edges. But $\binom{2d+3}{2} + \binom{d+1}{2} = (3d+4)(d+1) - \binom{d+2}{2}$ is the lower bound on the number of edges of a $(3d+4)$ -vertex normal d -pseudomanifold given by the Lower Bound Theorem (cf. Theorem 1). Therefore, $\tilde{X}$ attains the lower bound, and hence, by Theorem 1, $\tilde{X}$ is a stacked sphere. Now, Lemma 1.3 implies that $X = \tilde{X}^\psi$ where $\psi: \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$ is an admissible bijection between two facets of $\tilde{X}$. Thus, $\tilde{X}$ is a $(3d+4)$ -vertex stacked d -sphere with an admissible bijection ψ . Therefore, by Theorem 2, $\tilde{X} = S_{3d+4}^d$ and ψ are uniquely determined, hence so is $X = \tilde{X}^\psi$. Since K_{2d+3}^d satisfies the hypothesis, it follows that $X = K_{2d+3}^d$. $\square$

Corollary 5. *Let X be an n -vertex triangulation of an S^{d-1} -bundle over S^1 . If $d \geq 2$ then $n \geq 2d+3$. Further, if $n = 2d+3$, then X is isomorphic to K_{2d+3}^d .*

Proof. Since an S^{d-1} -bundle over S^1 is non-simply connected, the result is immediate from Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4 for $d \geq 3$. For $d = 2$, this result is classical. $\square$

Corollary 6. *If $d \geq 2$, $\varepsilon \equiv d \pmod{2}$ then $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$ has a unique $(2d+3)$ -vertex triangulation, namely K_{2d+3}^d .*

Proof. Since $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$ (with $\varepsilon \equiv d \pmod{2}$) is non-simply connected and is the geometric carrier of K_{2d+3}^d , the result is immediate from Theorem 4 for $d \geq 3$. For $d = 2$, this result is classical. $\square$

Corollary 7. *If $d \geq 2$, $\varepsilon \not\equiv d \pmod{2}$ then any triangulation of $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$ requires at least $2d+4$ vertices. Thus, for this manifold, the $(2d+4)$ -vertex triangulations in Section 3 are vertex minimal.*

Proof. Since $S^{1,d-1}(\varepsilon)$ (with $\varepsilon \not\equiv d \pmod{2}$) is non-simply connected and K_{2d+3}^d does not triangulate this space, the result is immediate from Theorem 4 for $d \geq 3$. For $d = 2$, this result is classical. $\square$

Corollary 8. (*Walkup, Altshuler and Steinberg*) K_9^3 is the unique 9-vertex triangulated 3-manifold which is not a combinatorial 3-sphere. In consequence, every closed 3-manifold other than S^3 and $S^1 \times S^2$ requires at least 10 vertices for a triangulation.

Proof. Note that any triangulated 3-manifold is a combinatorial 3-manifold. The result is immediate from Theorem 4, since by the Poincaré-Perelman theorem, the 3-sphere is the only simply connected closed 3-manifold. However, it is not necessary to invoke such a powerful result. Since a simply connected 3-manifold is clearly a homology 3-sphere, and by a result of [3] any homology 3-sphere (other than S^3) requires at least 12 vertices, the corollary follows from Theorem 4. $\square$

A few days after we posted the first two versions of this paper in the arXiv (arXiv:math.GT/0610829) a similar paper [7] was posted in the arXiv (arXiv:math.CO/0611039) by Chestnut, Sapir and Swartz. In that paper, the authors prove the uniqueness of K_{2d+3}^d in the broader class of homology d -manifolds (compared to the class of triangulated d -manifolds considered here) but with a much more restrictive topological condition (viz., $\beta_1 \neq 0$ and $\beta_2 = 0$, compared to our hypothesis of non-simply connectedness).

Acknowledgement : The authors thank the anonymous referees for many useful comments which led to substantial improvements in the presentation of this paper. The authors are thankful to Siddhartha Gadgil for useful conversations. The second author was partially supported by DST (Grant: SR/S4/MS-272/05) and by UGC-SAP/DSA-IV.

References

- [1] A. Altshuler, L. Steinberg, An enumeration of combinatorial 3-manifolds with nine vertices, *Discrete Math.* **16** (1976) 91–108.
- [2] B. Bagchi, B. Datta, A structure theorem for pseudomanifolds, *Discrete Math.* **168** (1998) 41–60.
- [3] B. Bagchi, B. Datta, Combinatorial triangulations of homology spheres, *Discrete Math.* **305** (2005) 1–17.
- [4] B. Bagchi, B. Datta, Lower bound theorems for pseudomanifolds (preprint).
- [5] D. Barnette, A proof of the lower bound conjecture for convex polytopes, *Pacific J. Math.* **46** (1973), 349–354.
- [6] U. Brehm, W. Kühnel, Combinatorial manifolds with few vertices, *Topology* **26** (1987) 465–473.
- [7] J. Chestnut, J. Sapir, E. Swartz, Enumerative properties of triangulations of spherical boundles over S^1 , *European J. Combin.* (to appear).
- [8] G. Kalai, Rigidity and the lower bound theorem 1, *Invent. math.* **88** (1987) 125–151.
- [9] W. Kühnel, Higher dimensional analogues of Császár’s torus, *Results in Mathematics* **9** (1986) 95–106.
- [10] W. Kühnel, G. Lassmann, Permuted difference cycles and triangulated sphere bundles, *Discrete Math.* **162** (1996) 215–227.
- [11] F. H. Lutz, Triangulated manifolds with few vertices: Combinatorial manifolds, v1 (2005) arXiv:math.CO/0506372.
- [12] H. Rademacher, *Topics in Analytic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg, 1973.
- [13] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg, 1966.
- [14] N. Steenrod, *The topology of fibre bundles*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1951.
- [15] T. S. Tay, Lower-bound theorems for pseudomanifolds, *Discrete Comput Geom.* **13** (1995) 203–216.
- [16] D. W. Walkup, The lower bound conjecture for 3- and 4-manifolds, *Acta Math.* **125** (1970) 75–107.